

Disputes Surrounding N7506 and N7537 Emerged on the First Day Meeting

ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 WK1 Interim Meeting Frankfurt, Germany Feb. 22, 2005

This document records the disputes surrounding 1N7506 and 1N7537 emerged on the first day discussions (Feb. 21) of the ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6 WK1 Interim Meeting, Frankfurt, Germany.

Dispute #1: Technical Presentation of N7537

During agenda discussion, Mr. Jesse Walker (Intel, IEEE Liaison to ISO/IEC JTC1 SC6) requested an allocation of 12 hours for the presentation of 1N7537.

Chinese NB expressed opposition stating that it is a lengthy presentation no appropriate for the meeting. It would cause unnecessary delays.

This issue was postponed to allow procedural discussions proceed first. A decision will be made later on after the completion of procedural discussions (to see how much time is left for the meeting).

Dispute #2: Should JTC1 Letter to Chinese National Body be Kept Secret?

Chinese National Body requested to present the letter of JTC1 secretariat to Chinese National Body dated January 28, 2005.

JTC1 Chairman Mr. Scott Jameson said that the letter is a private letter and should not be shown in the meeting.

Chinese National Body insisted that the letter should not be kept secret and the situation requires.

The letter was presented in the meeting.

Dispute #3: JTC1 Chairman: 1N7506 remains Non-existent

Mr. Scott Jameson presented a two page presentation. It states that 1N7506 is still void and currently does not exist.

Chinese National Body stated that Mr. Jameson's presentation is in direct conflict with the JTC1 January letter, which states that 1N7537 had been approved by SC6 for fast tracking and that the one month review has been completed.

Mr. Jameson states that he does not believe that this is a right interpretation. He insists that 1N7506 does not exist as he speaks.

Dispute # 4: The Cancellation of 1N7506

Chinese National Body stated that 1N7506 was wrongfully cancelled.

Mr. Jameson believes that JTC1 Secretariat has good reasons to cancel 1N7506.

Dispute # 5: No Consultation or Explanation

Chinese National Body points out that JTC1 should have contacted Chinese National Body and offer alternatives and additional information before canceling the Chinese Proposal.

Mr. Jameson states that JTC1 Secretariat does not have the obligation to contact Chinese National Body before the cancellation.

Dispute # 6: Different Explanations for the Cancellation

Chinese National Body points out three versions have been given in the past three months. The explanation offered in Orlando Meeting was clearly in contradiction to the one offered by Mr. Jameson.

Mr. Robin Tasker states that his explanation offered in Orlando Meeting was his interpretation and was incorrect. Mr. Jameson's explanation should be the right explanation.

Dispute #7: Unnecessary Delay

Chinese National Body states that 1N7506 was introduced in July 2004. If Mr. Jameson's position that 1N7506 does not exist stands, then this would constitute a 6 month non-action which is not allowed by ISO/IEC rules.

Some one must be responsible for this unnecessary delay and non-action.

Dispute # 8: Fast Track in October

In the meeting, correspondence between Chinese National Body, JTC1 Secretariat and SC6 Secretariat show that China has clearly informed the Secretariats that 1N7506 was intended for fast track processing.

WG1 Convener Mr. Jeon points out that the fast track intention was very clear in this letter and he wonders why it was not processed from that time.

Mr. Jameson says that JTC1 Secretariat did not initiate the fast track processing because it will be discussed in the Orlando Meeting.

Chinese National Body believes that this contradicts Mr. Jameson view that 1N7506 does not exist as he is speaking at the meeting.

Dispute #9: SC6 Resolution Reinterpreted

Chinese National Body states that Mr. Jameson's opinion that 1N7506 does not exist contradicts SC6 resolution 6.1.10 adopted in Orlando meeting, which authorized the interim meeting in Frankfurt, Germany to discuss security measures including 1N7506 and 1N7537,.

Mr. Robin Tasker states that he now believes that 1N7506 does not exist, and the current meeting cannot discuss 1N7506, and that the meeting is allowed to discuss 1N12687 instead.

Chinese National Body cannot accept this interpretation.

Problem List

Before the dispute # 11 emerged, Chinese National Body presented a document which list 10 problems surrounding 1N7506 and 1N7537 before the start of Frankfurt Meeting. Mr. Convener proposed to form an editing team to respond to the document.

Recess:

Considering the above development, especially the fact that Chinese proposal 1n7506 has been declared non-existent and that the Frankfurt meeting is prohibited from discussing it, Chinese National Body requested a recess (end of the day meeting) to allow the Chinese National Body reevaluate the situation and to redefine its objectives and positions in the meeting.

End of day one meeting (Feb. 21, 2005).