

Document: ISO/IEC WAPI N 25

Date: 2005-08-18

TITLE: Response to IEEE Opening Remarks - CNB contribution for the Beijing meeting, 8-12 August

SOURCE: CNB

REQUESTED ACTION: For information

DISTRIBUTION:

A Response to IEEE's Opening Remarks

Chinese National Body

Date: 2005-08

Notice: This document is prepared for presentation at the Beijing meeting August 8-12, 2005. It is the basis for discussion. The material in this document is subject to change in form and content after further study. The contributor(s) reserve(s) the right to add, amend or withdraw material contained herein.

Introduction

This document is prepared by the Chinese National Body as a partial response to IEEE's Opening Remarks for Beijing Meeting.

It briefly summarizes Chinese delegation's position on meeting objectives.

Detailed analysis is under preparation and will be provided as soon as it is ready and when specific topic is under discussion.

Summary

Chinese National Body has reviewed the opening remarks and other documents submitted by IEEE delegation. We found that IEEE's positions are not shared by Chinese National Body.

In this document, we just repeat our positions on relevant issues, and detailed analysis would take some time to prepare. Chinese delegation will submit more comments when they are ready.

Chinese Views on SC6-IEEE Agreement

- ➤ We respect IEEE and recognize its contribution to ISO/IEC international standardization.
- > There are many Chinese experts participate in IEEE activities.
- > We are not against SC6-IEEE agreement.
- ➤ We have used the cooperation process in WAPI's processing.
- > We have given and taken comments on the proposals.
- > But we wish IEEE understand our concerns and positions.

Chinese Concerns

- ➤ In previous meeting, Chinese delegation has repeatedly explained our concerns and our decision to submit WAPI proposal directly to ISO/IEC processing.
- > Our concerns have been heard within ISO/IEC and decisions have been made. (A historical review will be provided later).
- > However, it seems that IEEE has not heard our concerns or paid no attention to them.

Make a Complicated Issue Simple

- > IEEE-SC6 relationship is a complicated issue.
- > To define it clearly take time and efforts.
- > SC6 plenary is the best place to discuss it.
- > There is another way to clarify it.
- > It is a review of recent SC6 and ISO/IEC decisions.
- > Chinese delegation is preparing a document.
- ➤ It will clearly show that IEEE's interpretation of SC6-IEEE agreement is problematic.
- ➤ It will show that Chinese position is consistent and correct.

Our Interpretation on SC6-IEEE Agreement

- > The agreement did not give IEEE exclusive right.
- ➤ If it gives such right, it would be a violation of ISO/IEC basic principles.
- > The agreement should not be over-stated.
- > WAPI submission to SC6 does not violate the agreement.
- ➤ Harmonizing WAPI in IEEE is not the only avaiable nor is it the best approach.
- > Chinese concerns should not be overlooked.

Respect Geneva Resolution

- ➤ The Geneva resolution has determined the procedural path for WAPI and 11i proposals.
- > It is agreed by IEEE delegation.
- > The Beijing meeting is on technical evaluation of combining the two proposals.
- ➤ And it was clear that the proposals will be processed within ISO/IEC system.
- > Chinese has followed this resolution by providing our version of combined proposal.

IEEE Position Differs from Geneva

- > IEEE's Beijing meeting objective is to move the processing of the two proposals away from ISO/IEC system and into IEEE's territory.
- This is clearly not within the objective of Beijing Meeting as was defined in Geneva on May 17, 2005.
- > It is "presenting different positions at different levels".

Our Call

- > Let us not focus on the procedural path which has already been determined.
- Take the precious time to focus on the evaluation of the technical aspects of combined proposal which has been presented to the meeting.
- > Let us focus on what we have in common and make plans to work on differences in the future.
- > This is a consensus building process.

More details

➤ Chinese delegation has been preparing detailed responses to IEEE's differing views on SC6-IEEE agreement. They will be submitted later when they are complete and if needed.